SEALED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 23-60171-CR-BLOOM/VALLE

18 U.S.C. § 1343 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C)

FILED BY

*AT*____D.C.

Sep 14, 2023

ANGELA E. NOBLE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN,

Defendant.

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges that:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

The Small Business Administration

- 1. The United States Small Business Administration ("SBA") was an executive branch agency of the United States government that provided support to entrepreneurs and small businesses. The mission of the SBA was to maintain and strengthen the nation's economy by enabling the establishment and viability of small businesses and by assisting in the economic recovery of communities after disasters.
- 2. As part of this effort, the SBA enabled and provided loans through banks, credit unions, and other lenders. These loans had government-backed guarantees.

The Paycheck Protection Program

- 3. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security ("CARES") Act was a federal law enacted in or around March 2020, designed to provide emergency financial assistance to the millions of Americans who were suffering from the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. One source of relief that the CARES Act provided was the Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP"), which authorized forgivable loans to small businesses for job retention and certain other expenses.
- 4. The SBA promulgated regulations concerning eligibility for a PPP loan. To obtain a PPP loan, a qualifying business was required to submit a PPP loan application, which was signed by an authorized representative of the business. The PPP loan application required the business (through its authorized representative) to acknowledge the program rules and make certain affirmative certifications to be eligible to obtain the PPP loan, including that the business was in operation on February 15, 2020, and either had employees for whom it paid salaries and payroll taxes or paid independent contractors. Payments to independent contractors are typically reported to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") on a "Form 1099-MISC." In the PPP loan application (SBA Form 2483), the small business (through its authorized representative) was required to state, among other things, its: (a) average monthly payroll expenses; and (b) number of employees. These figures were used to calculate the amount of money the small business was eligible to receive under the PPP.
- 5. In addition, a business applying for a PPP loan was required to provide documentation showing its payroll expenses. This payroll information was material to the application because, pursuant to statutory requirements and implementing regulations, the amount of the loan that typically could be approved was a function of the applicant's historical payroll

costs, consisting of compensation to its employees whose principal place of residence was the United States, subject to certain exclusions.

- 6. Individuals who operated a business under a "sole proprietorship" business structure were eligible for a PPP loan. To qualify for such a PPP loan, individuals had to report and document their income and expenses from the sole proprietorship. Sole proprietorships typically report their income and expenses yearly to the IRS on a "Form 1040, Schedule C." As with other PPP loans, this information and supporting documentation was used to calculate the amount of money the individual was entitled to receive under the PPP. The maximum PPP loan amount for a sole proprietor with no employees was \$20,833.
- 7. PPP loan applications were processed by participating lenders and third-party loan processors. If a PPP loan application was approved, the participating lender funded the PPP loan using its own monies, including by electronic transfer through the Automated Clearing House (ACH) system. While it was the participating lender that issued the PPP loan, the loan was 100% guaranteed by the SBA. Data from the application, including information about the borrower, the total amount of the loan, and the listed number of employees, was transmitted by the lender to the SBA in the course of processing the loan.
- 8. After the lender funded the PPP loan to the borrower, the lender submitted disbursement details into the SBA E-Tran system, with servers located in Sterling, VA. The SBA's Denver Finance Center, located in Denver, Colorado, created payment files and authorized payments of the PPP processing fee to the lender through the Financial Management System to the Treasury. The primary server for the Financial Management System was in Sterling, VA. The PPP processing fee varied depending on the amount of the loan. Once created, the payment files were then transmitted via wire to the U.S. Treasury disbursing office in Kansas City, Missouri,

3

which, in turn, sent instructions for payment of funds to the Federal Reserve Bank Automated Clearing House processing site in East Rutherford, New Jersey.

- 9. The proceeds of a PPP loan could be used only for certain specified items, such as payroll costs, costs related to the continuation of group health care benefits, or mortgage interest payments for the business. The proceeds of a PPP loan were not permitted to be used by the borrowers to purchase consumer goods, automobiles, personal residences, clothing, or jewelry, to pay the borrower's personal federal income taxes, or to fund the borrower's ordinary day-to-day living expenses unrelated to the specified authorized expenses.
- 10. The PPP allowed the interest and principal on the PPP loan to be entirely forgiven if the borrower utilized 60% of the loan in the 24 weeks post-disbursement toward payroll costs and utilized the remaining 40% on qualified expense items (e.g., mortgage, rent, and utilities).
- 11. Applying for PPP loan forgiveness was a separate process that required additional affirmations that the applicant satisfied the eligibility for PPP loan forgiveness. Whatever portion of the PPP loan was not forgiven was serviced as a loan.

The Defendant and Related Entities

- 12. Defendant **LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN** was a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- 13. Home Empire Enterprises, LLC ("Home Empire Enterprises") was a Florida limited liability company with a registered principal address in Miami-Dade County, Florida. LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN was the registered agent and manager of Home Empire Enterprises.

Relevant Lenders

14. Lender 1 was a participating lender in the PPP that issued PPP loans.

15. Lender 2 was a participating lender in the PPP that issued PPP loans.

COUNTS 1-3 Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1343)

- 16. The General Allegations section of this Indictment is re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 17. From in or around July 2020, through in or around August 2021, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Miami-Dade County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN,

did knowingly, and with the intent to defraud, devise, and intend to devise, a scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, and, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

PURPOSE OF THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

18. It was the purpose of the scheme and artifice for the defendant to unlawfully enrich herself by, among other things: (a) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent applications for PPP loans made available through the SBA to provide relief for the economic effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic; (b) causing the disbursement of PPP loans to herself and her company that neither she nor her company were entitled to receive; and (c) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent applications for forgiveness of PPP loans made to

her and her company.

THE SCHEME AND ARTIFICE

The manner and means by which the defendant sought to accomplish the purpose of the scheme and artifice included, among others, the following:

- 19. **LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN** submitted and caused the submission of materially false and fraudulent applications for PPP loans from the SBA through Lender 1 and Lender 2, including PPP applications that contained materially false and fraudulent information as to the borrower's average monthly payroll and annual gross receipts for the years 2019 and 2020.
- 20. **LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN** submitted and caused the submission of materially false and fraudulent information and documentation in support of applications for PPP loans, including falsified Internal Revenue Service tax forms.
- 21. As a result of false and fraudulent PPP loan applications and information and documentation submitted as part of the scheme and artifice, LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN caused Lender 1 and Lender 2 to disburse, in total, approximately \$61,978 in PPP loan proceeds to LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN directly and through Home Empire Enterprises.
- 22. In furtherance of the scheme and artifice, **LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN** submitted and caused the submission of materially false and fraudulent applications and information to Lender 1 and Lender 2 to cause and attempt to cause the forgiveness of PPP loans issued to **LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN** and Home Empire Enterprises.
- 23. LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN used the proceeds of the scheme and artifice to enrich herself.

USE OF WIRES

24. On or about the dates specified as to each count below, **LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN**, for the purpose of executing the aforesaid scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations, and promises were false and fraudulent when made, did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted in interstate commerce, by means of wire communication, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, as more particularly described below:

COUNT	APPROXIMATE DATE	DESCRIPTION OF WIRE
1	July 21, 2020	Disbursement of SBA loan number 5261228107 from Lender 1 in the approximate amount of \$20,312.00 by Automated Clearing House (ACH) transfer to Bank of America account number ending in 2901.
2	March 3, 2021	Disbursement of SBA loan number 9672538403 from Lender 2 in the approximate amount of \$20,833.33 by Automated Clearing House (ACH) transfer to Chase Bank account number ending in 3057.
3	April 28, 2021	Disbursement of SBA loan number 7181168700 from Lender 2 in the approximate amount of \$20,833.33 by Automated Clearing House (ACH) transfer to Chase Bank account number ending in 3057.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

1. The allegations of this Indictment are hereby re-alleged and by this reference fully

incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America of certain

property in which the defendant, LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN, has an interest.

2. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, as

alleged in this Indictment, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or

personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such offense, pursuant to Title

18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C).

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and the procedures set

forth in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code,

Section 2461(c).

A TRUE BILL

10 11

FOREPHRSON

MARKENZY LAPOINTE

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

DAVID A. SNIDER

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA	CASE NO.:
v.		
		CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY
LA'K	EITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN,	
	Defendant.	Superseding Case Information:
		New Defendant(s) (Yes or No)
	Division (select one)	Number of New Defendants
	Miami □ Key West □ FTP □ FTL □ WPB	Total number of counts
£2	ALIT MAR	
I do h	analar, agutify that	
1 do n	ereby certify that: I have carefully considered the allegations of	the indictment, the number of defendants, the number of probable
1.	witnesses and the legal complexities of the Inc	
2.	I am aware that the information supplied on th	is statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting
		under the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §3161.
3.	Interpreter: (Yes or No) No	
	List language and/or dialect:	<u> </u>
4.	This case will take $\frac{3}{}$ days for the parties t	o try.
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
5.	Please check appropriate category and type	e of offense listed below:
	(Check only one) (Chec	k only one)
	I ■ 0 to 5 days ■ Petty	
	II 🔲 6 to 10 days 🔲 Mino	or
	III ■ 11 to 20 days ■ Misd	lemeanor
	IV □ 21 to 60 days	ny
	V 🗖 61 days and over	
_		Division to dr. No.
6.	Has this case been previously filed in this	District Court? (Yes or No) 110
7	If yes, Judge Has a complaint been filed in this matter?	(Veg en Ne) Ne
7.	If you Magistrate Case No.	(Tes of No) No
8.	Does this case relate to a previously filed t	matter in this District Court? (Yes or No) No
0.	If yes Indoe	Case No.
9.	Defendant(s) in federal custody as of	Case No.
10.	Defendant(s) in state custody as of	
11.	Rule 20 from the District of	
12.	Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes	
13.	Does this case originate from a matter pen	ding in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office
	prior to August 8, 2014 (Mag. Judge Shan	iek Maynard? (Yes or No) No
14.	Does this case originate from a matter pen	ding in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office
	prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jare	d Strauss? (Yes or No) No
15.	Did this matter involve the participation of	or consultation with now Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez
	during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Of	ffice, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No
		11.11/2
		By: /w/ A. p
		DAVIÓ A. SNIDER

Assistant United States Attorney

Court ID No. A5502260

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: LA'KEITHA VICTORIA LAWHORN	
Case No:	
Counts #: 1-3	
Wire Fraud	
Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343	
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: 20 years	
* Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): n/a	
* Max. Supervised Release: 3 years	
* Max. Fine: \$250,000	